

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 SACRAMENTO DIVISION

11  
12 **PERRY LEE SISCO,**

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,**

16 Defendant.  
17

No. 2:05-CV-0867-GEB-JFM (PC)

**ORDER**

18 Defendants have requested a 14-day extension of time to and including December 15,  
19 2006, to file a motion for summary judgment. The court finds good cause and orders as follows:

- 20 1. Defendants' request for an extension of time is granted;  
21 2. Defendants' December 13, 2006 motion for summary judgment is deemed timely  
22 filed;  
23 3. Plaintiff's opposition to defendants' motion shall be filed and served within twenty-  
24 one days from the date of this order and plaintiff is referred to the provisions of this court's order  
25 filed October 13, 2005 with respect to the requirements for opposing a motion for summary  
26 judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f); and

27 ////

28 ////

Order

1 4. Defendants' reply brief, if any shall be filed not later than seven days after  
2 plaintiff's opposition is filed.

3 Dated: December 21, 2006.

4  
5   
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 /sisc0867.ext  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28